



Your Mark

	Concise (less than 20 words), describes grant	0	0	2	2	0	
	Summary of proposal that could be understood by an educated non-specialist. This can be general, encompassing the overarching aims	0	0	3	5	0	
	Within the 100 word limit	0	0	2			
	Abstract is a scientific summary of the proposal. Structured appropriately, including background/context, project aim/research question, methodology, results and conclusion.	0		3	5	0	
	Within the 150 word limit	0		2			
	In depth but focused literature review with evidence of critical thinking in selection and coverage of published scientific evidence relevant to the grant proposal	0		10	25	0	
	Thorough grasp of concepts. The importance (background to grant, knowledge gaps) or rationale for the research is made clear, provides solid foundation for the subsequent hypothesis/aims.	0		12			
	Appropriately cited throughout, with evidence from authoritative sources used to support the statements made	0		3			
	Clearly defined research question / hypothesis, logically derived from the background provided. If aims are included, these should link to interrogation of the hypothesis	0		5	5	0	
	Clearly defined experimental / research strategies presented to interrogate the hypothesis. Sound choice of methodology. Logical flow throughout the sections. Where applicable, some idea of sample size, statistical analyses.	0		20	25	0	

Predicted logical outcomes are suggested for each aim/subsection, which align with the research question/hypothesis

0

5

Clear description of who benefits from the grant e.g. cohorts/groups/stakeholders

0

3

Some suggestion about how that benefit is realised e.g. individual, organizational, community, or social benefits, as well as benefits to the research community

0

2

A realistic attempt at a chart describing how the proposed research will fill a calendar year

0

3

3

0

There should be a postdoctoral salary, and evidence that the major parts of their grant have been covered (consumables, equipment access, animals, specialist software). There is no need for an exhaustive list, ball park costs are fine. Estates and Indirect costs are not expected

0

2

2

0

Figures and tables add value to the overall grant and have been designed/chosen well contributing to the logical flow of the proposal

0

0

Predicted logical outcomes are suggested for each aim/subsection, which align with the research question/hypothesis	0	5	
Clear description of who benefits from the grant e.g. cohorts/groups/stakeholders	0	3	
Some suggestion about how that benefit is realised e.g. individual, organizational, community, or social benefits, as well as benefits to the research community	0	2	
A realistic attempt at a chart describing how the proposed research will fill a calendar year	0	3	3
There should be a postdoctoral salary, and evidence that the major parts of their grant have been covered (consumables, equipment access, animals, specialist software). There is no need for an exhaustive list, ball park costs are fine. Estates and Indirect costs are not expected	0	2	2
Figures and tables add value to the overall grant and have been designed/chosen well contributing to the logical flow of the proposal	0	0	