

In the report from May 2021, external examiners were interested to see students feedback. They also wondered if there is a flexibility to enable projects to be modified or further extensions granted in relation to Covid-19 restrictions especially on field work.

The External Examiners have previously been explained that External Examiners for other subjects are not given access to course feedback and it is felt a precedent need not be set with this.

Of the remaining 6 students enrolled on the course, 4 are expected to resume in September 2022 (only need to complete their research thesis module) and 2 returned in February 2022 (needing to both the data processing and research thesis module). Those needing to complete the data processing module attended on site teaching yesterday and the day before. Given all these are yet to start their research thesis, and the widespread lifting of Covid restrictions, the need for extensions no longer exists.

Student performance

Please comment, as appropriate, on:

2.1 Students' performance in relation to those at a similar stage on comparable courses in other institutions, where this is known to you

Assessment Procedures

Please comment, as appropriate, on:

3.1 Assessment methods (relevance to learning objectives and curriculum)

No changes since May 2021 to comment on.

3.6 Opinion on changes to the assessment procedures from previous years in which you have examined

There was no change from May 2021.

3.7 Please provide any additional comments and recommendations regarding the procedures

None.

General Statements

4.1 Comments I have made in previous years have been addressed to my satisfaction

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

Review of the weighting of the research project presentation and report assessments will be included in a more general review of the course.

PONSE:

4.5 I attended the meeting of the Board of Examiners held to approve the results of the Examination

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

4.6 Candidates were considered impartially and fairly

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

4.7 The standards set for the awards are appropriate for qualifications at this level, in this subject

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

4.8

4.10 I have received sufficient information to carry out my role (where information was insufficient, please give details)

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

4.11 Appropriate procedures and processes have been followed

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

4.12 The processes for assessment and the determination of awards are sound

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

