

ANNUAL QUALITY IMPROVEMENT REPORT 2021/22

%% v ]Æ ïW Æš Œv o Æ u]v Œ•[ Œ %}Œš

Veterinary Education

dZ]• %% v ]Æ }vš ]v• z Œ > 21/22 Æ š ŒŒ•%øv•Æ š}vîŒ•[ }uu vš• v µ% š • š} Æ š Œv o Æ u]v (if applicable)%}Œš•

• }µŒ• ]Œ š}Œ %o • v•µŒ Ç}µ Œ (o š }v Æ š Œv o Æ u]v Œ•[ }u vš• }v any actions to be taken in response to these comments have been recorded in your Annual Quality Improvement Report

&}Œ •µ%%}Œš }Œ À] %o • }vš š v &]o]%%}À [bfilipovic@rvcl.ac.uk](mailto:bfilipovic@rvcl.ac.uk) 01707669338 (| Œ Z ^

Appendix 3 consists of:

a.	h %o š • š} š ]}v• (Œ }u %o Œ Æ }µ• Ç Œ•[ Œ %}Œš•
b.	21/22 Collaborative Annual Report with responses from Course Director

# **Collaborative Report**

Exam board meeting: 04-Sep-2022

**MSc in Veterinary Education (Part-Time), 2021/22**

**Lead examiner: Dr Jenny Moffett**





### 3.4 Standard of marking

The standard of marking is very good. Students receive detailed and high quality feedback. Indeed, the feedback provided by staff is extensive and takes a lot of time – faculty are encouraged to consider how they monitor if / how the feedback is used.

#### **COURSE DIRECTOR: Dr Elizabeth Chan**

##### **Course Director Response:**

We very much appreciated the discussion surrounding getting students to reflect on their feedback. We are going to add this to the MSc research project this year and will explore within the team how to embed this into the Certificate and Diploma

##### **Action Required:**

by the CMC

##### **Action Deadline:**

##### **Action assigned to:**

**3.5 In your view, are the procedures for assessment and the determination of awards sound and fairly conducted? (e.g. Briefing, Exam administration, marking arrangements, Board of Examiners, participation by External Examiners)**



**4.1 Comments I have made in previous years have been addressed to my satisfaction**

**Yes**

**Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:**

In the interim report it was highlighted that a deputy chair be identified for placement. This has been resolved.

**4.2 An acceptable response has been made**

**Yes**

**Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:**

**4.7 The standards set for the awards are appropriate for qualifications at this level, in this subject**

**Yes**

**Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:**

**4.8 The standards of student performance are comparable with similar programmes or subjects in other UK institutions with which I am familiar**

**Yes**

**Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:**

**4.9 I have received enough training and support to carry out my role**

**Yes**

**Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:**

**4.10 I have received sufficient information to carry out my role (where information was insufficient, please**



## Completion

If you have identified any areas of good practice, please comment more fully here. We may use information provided in our annual external examining report:

**5.1 Do you have any suggestions for improvements based on experience at other institutes? We may use information provided in our annual external examining report:**

Suggestions for monitoring and/or change have been made within "Assessment Procedures"

**5.2 External Examiner comments: For College information only (Responses to External Examiners are S X E O L V K W I G & R D O O n s i t e . P l e a s e o n l y u s e t h i s b o x t o a d d a n y c o m m e n t s t h a t y o u w i s h t o r e m a i n c o n f i d e n t i a l , i f a n y )**