ANNUAL QUALITY IMPROVEMENT REPORT 2018/19

BVetMed Year 2

This appendix contains Year Leader's responses to 2018/19 External Examiners' comments and updates to actions from previous External Examiners' reports (if applicable).

As Year Leader/Course Director please ensure you reflect on External Examiners' comments in the Course Review section. Please ensure that any actions to be taken in response to these comments have been recorded in your Annual Quality Improvement Report.

For support or advice please contact Ana Filipovic, Academic Quality Officer 'Standards', afilipovic@rvc.ac.uk, 01707666938

Appendix 3 consists of:

a.	Updates to actions from previous years' reports
b.	2018/19 Collaborative Annual Report with responses from Course Director/Year Leader

Report Question		Course Directors response and actions	Update in 2018/19
3.2 Extent to which assessment procedures are rigorous	The entire comment available online	Action Required: Example Level One questions to be circulated to staff ahead of ISF oral exams, and a hard copy made available during the exam. Use of feedback comments, and 'signposting' during the exam to be emphasised in pre-exam briefing to staff (ISF coordinator; Exams office). Analysis of relationship between MCQ ICA and MCQ summer marks (BVetMed Year 2 Leader). Recommend to LTAC that RVC marking scheme for research and library project oral presentations should be amended or replaced. (BVetMed Year 2 leader; Director of Assessment; Exams Office; BVetMed Course Management Committee) Action Deadline: 01-Jun-2019 Action assigned to: ISF coordinator; Exams office; BVetMed Year 2 leader; Director of Assessment; Exams Office; BVetMed Course Management Committee	Example Level One questions to be circulated to staff ahead of ISF oral exams, and a hard copy made available during the exam. Use of feedback comments, and 'signposting' during the exam to be emphasised in pre-exam briefing to staff This action needs to be ongoing and highlighted again in 2019-20 since the ISF coordinator role will change hands this year. An analysis of the relationship between ICA and summer exam MCQ marks has been undertaken. There a significant (p<0.05) and positive (R = 0.66) correlation between incourse MCQ exam marks and June MCQ exam marks. This knowledge is helpful, since we will now confidently follow up with tutors and students performing poorly at the December MCQ.
3.4 Standard of marking	The entire comment vailable		A new marking scheme for integrated concepts presentations was devised and introduced for 2018-19 exams. This action is complete.
J Jianuaru di marking	The chine comment anable		

		maximum and minimum marks for each question on		
		future reports (Director of Assessment).		
		Action Deadline:		
		01-Jun-2019		
		Action assigned to:		
		Exams office; Director of Assessment		
3.6 Opinion on changes	The external examiners are concerned that 60 MCQ questions may not be sufficient to test practical content in addition to factual recall across such a large	Action Required:	We are still in the process of outlining	
to the assessment procedures from previous years in which you have examined		Ongoing assessment review, and changes made to Assessment and Award Regulations for BVetMed 2 2020-21	changes in assessment. We do intend to increase the weighting of the ISF oral exam, probably to 15%, however the exact figure has not yet been agreed upon. Assessment	
	range of subject areas and	Action Deadline:	and award regulation proposals will go to	
	recommend that the widely praised ISF oral exam be given a	01-Nov-2019	Course Management Committee for approval in November 2019. We will keep	
	greater weighting (15 20%).	Action assigned to:	the external examiners informed of our	
		Director of assessment; BVetMed Course Management Committee; BVetMed Year 2 Leader	discussions.	
3.7 Please provide any	these essays in a fair	Action Required:	Essays will no longer be a part of this	
additional comments and recommendations regarding the procedures	and consistent manner is challenging. The requirement for the essay paper could also be questioned as the material being	Paper to BVetMed course management committee; amendment to Assessment and Award regulations for 2020-21	examination in 2020-21 Assessment and award regulations to go to Course Management Committee in November 2019.	
	assessed does appear to cross	Action Deadline:		
	over with paper 2 on some instances. Perhaps the essay	31-Oct-2018		
	paper could be removed and to	Action assigned to:		
	compensate, paper 2 could be expanded, either to cover additional areas or increase the time/marks for each question?	Director of assessment; BVetMed Course Management Committee; BVetMed Year 2 Leader		
5.1 Do you have any	The ISF orals. In particular the	Action Required:	Action complete	
suggestions for improvements based on experience at other institutes? We may use information provided in	external examiners would like to praise 1. Student briefing 2. The calm atmosphere that was created which helped to alleviate	Feedback positive comments to Head of Anatomy Services, CBS and PPS HoD, Director of Assessment, Exams office, Exam board chair Action Deadline:		
our annual external	any student anxieties.			
examining report:	3. Provision of samples in as clean	21-Dec-2018		
and hygienic a manner as possible.		Action assigned to:		
	possible.	Chair, TQC		
	Application of statistical evaluation			
	nce			

con	ovision of all written material for nsideration by the external aminers and the conduct of the am board meeting are also, we	

Collaborative Report

Bachelor of Veterinary Medicine, Year 2, 2018/19

Lead examiner: Dr Mark Mclaughlin

Collaborating examiner(s): Dr Harriet BrooksBrownlie, Dr Karen Noble, Dr Richard Payne

Exam board meeting: 03-Jul-2019

The Programme

1.5 Please provide any additional comments and recommendations regarding the Programme

The staff succes y delivers a course that builds on learning from earlier parts 880.6of the degree programmend that is 880.6welled to assessment methods. Qua it880.6y 880.6assurance iobust.

Student performance

Please comment, as appropriate, on:

2.1

Assessment Procedures

Please comment, as appropriate, on:

3.3 Consistency of the level of assessment with the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications (FHEQ)

The assessment process effectively and objectively examined a broad range of subjects in an integrated and aligned manner differentiating the depth of knowledge and understanding of individual student.

Response from college requested: NO

3.4 Standard of marking

Overall marking was consistent and generally of a high standard with, in general, clear feedback annotation on papers 2 and 3. A potentially ambiguous question was identified and it was agreed that the model answer should be modified and additional mark awarded where appropriate and this was performed efficiently. Staff had also

3.6 Opinion on changes to the assessment procedures from previous years in which you have examined

The introduction of a rubric for the ICA group oral presentations has made this component more discriminatory although the grades awarded still tend to be high. This is common for these assessments where the more engaged students can drive the group. The feedback annotation on the scripts (papers 2 &3) was more extensive which made the rationale for awarding marks easier to appreciate. A new box on the ISF oral mark sheet which aims to identify those students who may benefit from support in development of their communication skills is a useful addition, though staff may require guidance on its function and use.

4.7 The standards set for the awards are appropriate for qualifications at this level, in this subject					
Yes					
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:					

Completion

If you have identified any areas of good practice, please comment more fully here. We may use information provided in our annual external examining report:

5.1 Do you have any suggestions for improvements based on experience at other institutes? We may use information provided in our annual external examining report:

The external examiners would like to praise the following aspects of the BVM2 examination process:-As in previous years in relation to the ISF orals;

- 1. Student briefing and the general organisation of students going through the process
- 2. The calm atmosphere that was created which helped to alleviate any student anxieties.
- 3. Provision of samples in as clean and hygienic a manner as possible and the use of live animals.

vision of all written material for consideration by the external examiners.

examination staff and the external examiners. ard meeting.

Response from college requested: NO

COURSE DIRECTOR: Dr Sarah Channon

Course Director Response:

Thankyou for your positive feedback, we will ensure this is passed on. It is pleasing to see so many areas of good practice highlighted in our assessment processes.

Action Required:

Action Deadline:

Action assigned to: