Collaborative Report

Exam board meeting: 24-May-2018 Approved by TQC Chair 3rd June 2020

Graduate Diploma in Equine Locomotor Research, 2017/18

Lead examiner: Dr Sarah Taylor

Collaborating examiner(s): Dr Connie Wiskin

The Programme

1.4 Resources (in so far as they affected the assessment)

Fully available

Response from college requested: NO

Dr C.W

Timely, comprehensive, and easy to access. Thank you.

1.5 Please provide any additional comments and recommendations regarding the Programme

Consistency of word counts was discussed to be implemented in the future

Response from college requested: NO

Student performance

Please comment, as appropriate, on:

Assessment Procedures

Please comment, as appropriate, on:

3.1 Assessment methods (relevance to learning objectives and curriculum)

Some of the guidelines for student assessments could be improved as reported in the minutes from the exam board discussion for example use of a template with subheadings and consistent word counts

Response from college requested: NO

RESPONSE FROM THE COURSE DIRECTOR

We are continually reviewing our guidelines in response to feedback from the students (from different educational backgrounds and different continents) and for example the 'grant proposal' that the students are writing and is being assessed in the 'Study Design' module gives the students example grant proposals that they can use to guide them through the process of writing their own grant proposal.

3.7 Please provide any ad



Yes
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:
Response from college requested: NO
4.7 The standards set for the awards are appropriate for qualifications at this level, in this subject
Yes
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:
A very high standard is being promoted and achieved
Response from college requested: NO
4.8 The standards of student performance are comparable with similar programmes or subjects in other UK institutions with which I am familiar
Yes
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:
Response from college requested: NO
4.9 I have received enough support to carry out my role
Yes
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was

4.6 Candidates were considered impartially and fairly

Completion

If you have identified any areas of good practice, please comment more fully here. We may use information provided in our annual external examining report:

5.1 Do you have any suggestions for improvements based on experience at other institutes? We may use information provided in our annual external examining report: