

# **Collaborative Report**

MSc in Intensive Livestock Health and Production (Distance Learning), 2015/16

Exam board meeting: 12-Sep-2016

Lead examiner: Ms Carole Brizuela

Collaborating examiner(s): Dr Stephen Lister

# The Programme

Please comment, as appropriate, on the following aspects of the programme:

#### 1.1 Course content

The PgC modules provide an excellent grounding int ing 7(i).4n77()-10(an)4(ex)-2c)-5(el)-4C Tf1 0 0 1 37.2

1.5 Please provide any additional comments and recommendations regarding the Programme					
None					
Response from college requested: NO					

# Student performance

Please comment, as appropriate, on:

# **Assessment Procedures**

Please comment, as appropriate, on:

3.5 In your view, are the procedures for assessment and the determination of awards sound and fairly conducted? (e.g. Briefing, Exam administration, marking arrangements, Board of Examiners, participation by External Examiners)

As usual the arrangements for reviewing papers (but please be aware external examiners are liable to be away during late July / early August) was very good and the provision of assessment material prior to attending the College was extremely useful in making the most of the time available prior to the CAB to review other assignments and exam scripts and discuss course and assessment matters.

Response from college requested: NO

3.6 Opinion on changes to the assessment procedures from previous years in which you have examined

n/a

Response from college requested: NO

3.7 Please provide any additional comments and recommendations regarding the procedures

Some of the feedback on assignments and exam scripts was exemplary (of particular note Animal Health Economics, Applied Animal Nutrition, Genetics and Genomics, Current Trends in Food Systems) and allows the external examiner to understand where and why marks are awarded. Other modules had no feedback or comments provided. It would be good practice if all internal examiners could be encouraged to do the same.

Response from college requested: YES

We continue to request feedback from all markers in line with RVC marking policy. Where this persists, we will speak directly to the marker(s) concerned.\_-

### **General Statements**

#### 4.1 Comments I have made in previous years have been addressed to my satisfaction

#### No

### Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

I still have concerns about the use of a Common Grading Scheme to assess work from level 4 to level 7.

Response from college requested: